

PERFORMANCE AND RISK REPORT

Cabinet Member Cllr Richard Chesterton
Responsible Officer Catherine Yandle, Group Manager for Performance, Governance and Data Security

Reason for Report: To provide Members with an update on performance against the corporate plan and local service targets for 2020-21 as well as providing an update on the key business risks.

RECOMMENDATION(S): That the PDG reviews the Performance Indicators and Risks that are outlined in this report and feeds back any areas of concern to the Cabinet.

Relationship to Corporate Plan: Corporate Plan priorities and targets are effectively maintained through the use of appropriate performance indicators and regular monitoring.

Financial Implications: None identified

Legal Implications: None identified

Risk Assessment: If performance is not monitored we may fail to meet our corporate and local service plan targets or to take appropriate corrective action where necessary. If key business risks are not identified and monitored they cannot be mitigated effectively.

Equality Impact Assessment: No equality issues identified for this report.

Impact on Climate Change: No impacts identified for this report.

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 Appendix 1 provides Members with details of performance against the Corporate Plan and local service targets for the 2020-21 financial year. **The PDG is invited to suggest measures they would like to see included in the future for consideration.**
- 1.2 Appendix 2 shows the section of the Corporate Risk Register which relates to the Economy Portfolio. See 3.0 below.
- 1.3 Appendix 3 shows the profile of all risks for the Economy at present.
- 1.4 All appendices are produced from the corporate Service Performance And Risk management system (SPAR).

2.0 Performance

- 2.1 Regarding the Corporate Plan Aim: **Identify strategic and tactical interventions to create economic and community confidence and pride in the places we live. This includes a continued focus on Town Centre Regeneration: Empty shops**; it should be noted that the vacancy count is done at the start of each quarter but it was not done in April due to Covid 19. We are looking at changing the information to reflect all empty commercial properties rather than just shops. Your comments on this would be welcomed.
- 2.2 Data on units owned by MDDC has now been added to Appendix 1.
- 2.3 Regarding the Corporate Plan Aim: **Work with local stakeholders to initiate delivery of the new garden village at Culm**: Engagement with the various stakeholder groups has been re-started including 3 Stakeholder Forum events on the subjects of Building with Nature, held on 11 August, Connecting the Culm project (9 September) and Transport and Future Mobility (October/ November).
- 2.4 Regarding the Corporate Plan Aim: **Work with developers and DCC to deliver strategic cycle routes between settlements and key destinations**: Walking and cycling provision needs to be prioritised as set out in the Culm garden village vision and objectives.

3.0 Risk

- 3.1 Risk reports to committees include strategic risks with a current score of 10 or more in accordance with the Risk and Opportunity Management Strategy. (See Appendix 2)
- 3.2 Operational risk assessments are job specific and flow through to safe systems of work. These risks go to the Health and Safety Committee biannually with escalation to committees where serious concerns are raised.
- 3.3 The Corporate risk register is regularly reviewed by Group Managers and Leadership Team (LT) and updated as required.

4.0 Conclusion and Recommendation

- 4.1 That the PDG reviews the performance indicators and risks for 2020-21 that are outlined in this report and feeds back any areas of concern to the Cabinet.

Contact for more Information: Catherine Yandle, Group Manager for Performance, Governance and Data Security ext 4975

Circulation of the Report: Leadership Team and Cabinet Member