

Appendix 1

The Table below gives an overall summary of the Council's General Fund MTFP position (which includes a wide range of assumptions).

MTFP General Fund Summary – assumed worst position

2025/26 £'000		Notes	2026/27 £'000	2027/28 £'000	2028/29 £'000
16,284	Net Direct Cost of Services	1, 2	16,973	17,692	18,441
(2,050)	Net recharge to HRA		(2,112)	(2,175)	(2,240)
857	Provision for Repayment of Borrowing	3	1,021	1,249	1,294
15,091	Net Service Costs		15,882	16,766	17,495
(481)	Net Interest Costs/(Receipts)	4	(280)	(100)	(50)
462	Net Transfers to/(from) Earmarked Reserves	5	0	0	0
15,071	Total Budget Requirement		15,602	16,666	17,445
	Funded By:				
(5,079)	Retained Business Rates		0	0	0
(7,472)	Council Tax		0	0	0
(140)	Revenue Support Grant		0	0	0
(35)	Domestic Abuse Safe Accommodation Grant		0	0	0
(57)	Recovery Grant		0	0	0
(27)	Employer National Insurance Contributions Grant		0	0	0
(740)	Funding Floor		0	0	0
(498)	New Homes Bonus		0	0	0
0	New Core Funding Assumption	6	(11,002)	(10,702)	(10,402)
(927)	Extended Producer Responsibility Grant	1, 7	(1,000)	(1,000)	(1,000)
0	New Weekly Food Waste Collection Grant 5	8	(250)	(250)	(250)
(15,071)	Total Funding		(12,252)	(11,952)	(11,652)
0	Annual Gap – Increase/(Decrease) In-year		3,350	4,714	5,793
0	Cumulative Gap		3,350	7,764	13,257

The above figures are based on business as usual with no remedial management intervention. So is very much the most prudent worst case scenario, prior to any offsetting action.

Notes:

1. The Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) Grant is currently assumed to be a direct grant. For illustration purposes, this has been stripped out of the Direct Service cost and shown separately under funding.
2. This includes the assumed inflationary pressure.
3. The Provision for repayment of borrowing incorporates the financial implications of the current Capital Programme.
4. The reduction in Net Interest Costs/(Receipts) reflects a prudent assumption of the interest earned on balances held. The annual falls as balances held are reducing to fund the capital programme, plus interest rates are falling.
5. Net Transfers to/(from) Earmarked Reserves reflects assumed contributions to, or drawdowns from reserves. At the outset, it is prudent that the working assumption is that reserves are not required.
6. For illustration purposes, all core funding has been included within a single line – see Table 1c within the covering report. In reality, Council Tax will reflect our locally calculated figure, not that assumed by Government. It is assumed that this will also be relevant for Business Rates. A prudent assumption

of a reduction in core funding of £300k occurs in years 2027/28 and 2028/29 – although this could be protected by transitional grant.

7. The new Extended Producer Responsibility Grant sits outside of the Settlement. The indicative allocation for 2025/26 was £927k, however recently the Government have increased this to £1,438k. A prudent assumption of £1,000k has been made for future years. Note, as producers decrease the volume of packaging, the value of this grant will also fall.
8. This is a broad assumption that MDDC will qualify for this grant funding, and a high level assumption of the funding we might receive. Note the costs of delivering weekly food collect far exceed this assumed level of funding.