

PERFORMANCE AND RISK FOR 2017-18

Cabinet Member Cllr Colin Slade
Responsible Officer Director of Corporate Affairs & Business Transformation,
Jill May

Reason for Report: To provide Members with an update on performance against the corporate plan and local service targets for 2017-18 as well as providing an update on the key business risks.

RECOMMENDATION: That the PDG reviews the Performance Indicators and Risks that are outlined in this report and feeds back areas of concern to the Cabinet.

Relationship to Corporate Plan: Corporate Plan priorities and targets are effectively maintained through the use of appropriate performance indicators and regular monitoring.

Financial Implications: None identified

Legal Implications: None

Risk Assessment: If performance is not monitored we may fail to meet our corporate and local service plan targets or to take appropriate corrective action where necessary. If key business risks are not identified and monitored they cannot be mitigated effectively.

Equality Impact Assessment: No equality issues identified for this report.

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 Appendix 1 provides Members with details of performance against the Corporate Plan and local service targets for the 2017-18 financial year.
- 1.2 Appendix 2 shows the section of the Corporate Risk Register which relates to the Community Portfolio. See 3.0 below.
- 1.3 Appendix 3 shows the profile of all risks for the Community Portfolio for this quarter.
- 1.4 At the 1 August meeting of the Community PDG it was AGREED that in future the performance indicators for Leisure would be provided in Part II to allow Members to review performance without risk to the Leisure business. This information is included as Appendix 4
- 1.5 All appendices are produced from the corporate Service Performance And Risk Management system (SPAR).

2.0 Performance

- 2.1 Regarding the Corporate Plan Aim: **Promote physical activity, health and wellbeing**: The GP referrals across the district; the 22 surgeries signed up since January have referred 61 people between them to the 3 Leisure Centres.
- 2.2 It was **AGREED** at the 1 August meeting that the Audit Team Leader be tasked to provide a performance indicator regarding other methods of improving health and wellbeing within the District: This is being pursued.
- 2.3 Other: **Compliance with food safety law** is 89%, which is just below the target i.e. 90%, of premises being rated 4 or above under the Food Hygiene Rating Scheme. Responsibility for compliance rests with the business; MDDC's responsibility is to carry out the food premises inspections that should be carried out (for A & B - High Risk premises) this is an annual figure for 2016/17 it was 100%.
- 2.4 When benchmarking information is available it is included.

3.0 Risk

- 3.1 The Corporate risk register has been reviewed by Management Team (MT) and updated. Risk reports to committees include risks with a total score of 10 or more. (See Appendix 2)
- 3.2 Appendix 3 shows the risk matrix for MDDC for this quarter. If risks are not scored they are included in the matrix at their inherent score which will be higher than their current score would be.

4.0 Conclusion and Recommendation

- 4.1 That the PDG reviews the performance indicators and risks for 2017-18 that are outlined in this report and feedback any areas of concern to the Cabinet.

Contact for more Information: Catherine Yandle, Group Manager Performance, Governance and Data Security ext 4975

Circulation of the Report: Management Team and Cabinet Member